Exhibit F

	Ш	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
0		
1		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
2.7		

and undue burden and expense. Meta further objects to Instruction 11 to the extent that it purports to require Meta to investigate information outside of its possession, custody, or control. As such the Instruction if overly broad, as well. Subject to any objections applicable to a particular Interrogatory, Meta will conduct a reasonable, proportionate search for non-privileged, relevant, responsive information within its possession, custody, or control.

19. In responding to all Interrogatories, Meta will comply with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Evidence and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26.

III. OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INDIVIDUAL INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

If You or any of Your employees and/or agents intend to assert the advice of counsel defense, state any and all facts upon which You or any of your employees and/or agents intend to rely on for that contention.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

Meta incorporates by reference its objections and definitions above.

Meta objects to this Interrogatory as vague and ambiguous as to the reference to "Your employees and/or agents" with respect to any defense in this case, as no Meta employees or agents are parties to this case.

Meta objects to this Interrogatory because it exceeds Plaintiffs' limit of 25 Interrogatories under Rule 33(a)(1).

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Meta responds as follows: As Meta witnesses have testified, Meta had a review process for datasets used to train the Meta Language Models (as construed above) that included, as one component, a legal review. However, Meta does not intend to assert the advice of counsel defense in this case.